

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/648,579	08/25/2003	Toshiyuki Takabayashi	03500/HG	4092
1933	7590 12/30/2005		EXAMINER	
FRISHAUF, HOLTZ, GOODMAN & CHICK, PC 220 Fifth Avenue 16TH Floor NEW YORK, NY 10001-7708			BERMAN, SUSAN W	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1711	
			DATE MAILED: 12/30/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
10/648,579	TAKABAYASHI, TOSHIYUKI	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Susan W. Berman	1711	

i	1 1
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>14 December 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CON	IDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amer places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with apple a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The time periods:	a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of andment, affidavit, or other evidence, which eal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the dono event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	m the mailing date of the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the correspond under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period f set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	ling amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.3 filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4 a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period state. AMENDMENTS	1.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of fi (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search	
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by mappeal; and/or</li> </ul>	naterially reducing or simplifying the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	of finally rejected claims.
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)  will not be entered, on how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-7 and 12.	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>8-11</u> .  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections ushowing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented.	inder appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a
10.  ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the cla REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	ims after entry is below or attached.
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the a	pplication in condition for allowance because:
<ol> <li>Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-144</li> <li>M Other: See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>	19) Paper No(s)
. 0. 24 0 a.m. <u>200 0 ammanon 0 1000</u> .	Ausan Barna
	Susan W Berman Primary Examiner

Art Unit: 1711

Continuation of 13. Other: The evidence for unexpected results in the Affidavit filed 12/14/05 is persuasive to overcome the rejection of claims over Ohkawa et al, of record. The obviousness-type double patenting rejection of claims 1-7 and 12 is maintained.